

Royce / Yeckel.

The Dugas-McCarthy Theorem I.

Sarah starts.

Thm. Dugas-Goodwillie-McCarthy ^{connects} $f: R \rightarrow S$ a map of \mathbb{E}_1 -rings such that $\pi_0 R \rightarrow \pi_0 S$ is surjective with nilpotent kernel. Then,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(R) & \longrightarrow & Tc(R) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(S) & \longrightarrow & Tc(S) \end{array} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{ccc} K(R) & \longrightarrow & Tc(R) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(S) & \longrightarrow & Tc(S) \end{array}} \right\} \text{Integral } Tc.$$

is cartesian.

① Taylor tower.

Let X be a space. S_X spaces over X . ■

$$F: S_X \rightarrow S_* \text{ or } Sp.$$

Goodwillie defines a tower of functors

$$F \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_n F \rightarrow P_{n-1} F \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 F \rightarrow P_0 F \simeq F(X \simeq X)$$

\uparrow
 n -excisive approximation

Like a Taylor approximation.

Def. F is analytic if $F(X) \simeq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n F(X)$
 for suff. connected n .

Def. F is l -excisive if it takes pushout squares to pullback squares.

Ex. $\Sigma^n: S_* \rightarrow S_*$ is l -excisive.

Ex. $id: S_* \rightarrow S_*$ is not l -excisive.

Blohorn-Massey controls failure.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{k_0 - \text{conn}} & \\ \downarrow \text{Ker} & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \cdot & \longrightarrow & \cdot \end{array}$$

X -pullback is $(k_0 + k_1 - 1)$ -connected.

Def. F is stably l -excisive if $\exists c, k$ s.t.

Apply F to a square with $k_0, k_1 \geq k$, get $(k_0 + k_1 - c)$ -cartesian. $E_{c/k}$.

Ex. $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is $E_1(1, -1)$.

Def. F is 2-excise if F takes
strongly cocartesian 3-cubes to cart cubes.
[every face is cocart].

Ex. Σ_+ is 2-excise.

Higher Blakers-Massey.

Fiber is $(K_0 + K_1 + K_2 - 2)$ -conn.

More def. for stably 2-excise. $E_2(c, k)$.

Ex. $\Sigma^{\infty} X^{0,2}$ is 2-excise but not 1-excise.

Ex. Id is $E_2(2, -1)$. In fact $E_n(n-1)$.

Obvious definition of stably n -excise.

$$E_n(c, k) \Rightarrow E_n(d, k')$$

$$\text{for } c \leq d, \\ k \leq k'.$$

② "Analyticity is stable n -excisivity for all n ."



Def. F is p -analytic if there exist p, q s.t.
 F is $E_n(pn - q, pn)$.

Ex. $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is $p=1$ -analytic.

Thm (Goodwillie). If F is p -analytic and $Y \rightarrow X$ is $(p+1)$ -connected, then

$$F(Y) \simeq \lim P_n F(Y).$$

"Radius of convergence for the Taylor tower."

For Jardine-McCarthy, focus on

$$F \xrightarrow{D_1 F} P_1 F \longrightarrow P_0 F.$$

$D_1 F = \text{differential}.$

$D_1 F$ is 1-homogeneous.

We know that $D_1 F(Y) \simeq Y \otimes D_1 F(X)$ (or \mathbb{R}^n) for some $D_1 F(X)$.

Thm [Goodwillie]. "Analytic continuation."

Case II.

If $F, G: S_+ \rightarrow S_p$ are p -analytic with $F \simeq G$ inducing $D_1 F \simeq D_1 G$ for all spaces X , then

$$F(Y) \longrightarrow G(Y)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \downarrow$$

$$F(X) \longrightarrow G(X)$$

This can be replaced by $D_1 F(X) \simeq D_1 G(X)$ for X .

for $Y \rightarrow X$ $(p+1)$ -connected.

Str. + J. $K, TC: \mathbb{R} S_+ \longrightarrow S_p$

Shows they are (1-1)-analytic and $D_1 K \simeq D_1 TC$.

\mathbb{R} -rings

Awesome!

Gives the result for split span-zero operations. Then, make another argument.

Aaron takes
over.

$$F: S_+ \rightarrow S_+.$$

Assume F preserves filtered colimits.

Q. How do you compute $D_1 F$?

Reduction. Assume $F(\dagger) = \dagger$. Then, $P_0 F \subseteq \dagger$.
So, $D_1 F \subseteq P_1 F$.

Prop (Calc I).

$$D_1 F(X) \cong \operatorname{colim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Omega^n F(\Sigma^n X).$$

Ex. $D_1 \operatorname{id}_{S_+}(X) \cong \Omega^2 \Sigma^2 X.$

Generalize to other settings, esp. Δ -cats. Appears in Higher Algebra.

\mathcal{C} an ω -cat w/ finite limits.

$$\Sigma(e) \cong \operatorname{Exc}_{S_+}(\Sigma_{S_+}^{\omega}, e)$$

↑
reduced excision functors.

I guess this means
Laxisome.

This is "the ω -cat of \mathcal{C} -valued homology theories on S_+^{ω} ".

Prop. (i) $\Sigma(e)$ is a stable ω -cat.

(ii) If \mathcal{C} is presentable (or just accessible?), there is an adjoint

$$\Sigma_e^{\omega}: e \rightleftarrows \Sigma(e): \Omega_e^{\omega}.$$

(iii) Σ_e^{ω} is natural among colim-preserving functors to a stable ω -cat, if e is presentable.

Exs (i) $\Sigma(S) \cong S.$

(i') $\Sigma(S/X) \cong$ stable ω -cat of spectra over X .
 $\cong \operatorname{Fun}(X^{\omega}, S).$

(ii) $e = \operatorname{Alg}_A$. $\Sigma(e) = \Sigma(\operatorname{Alg}_A) \cong {}_A \operatorname{Mod}_A.$

$\Omega_A^{\omega}: {}_A \operatorname{Mod}_A \rightarrow \operatorname{Alg}_A$ Bousfield-Mandell.
 $M \mapsto A \otimes M \otimes A.$

In thinking about DK, DTC: $\mathbb{F}_1\text{-rings} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_p$, naturally led to thinking about trivial square zero exts.

$$\Gamma \in {}_A \text{Mod}_A \cong \text{Ext}_+^1(S_+^w, \text{Alg}_A) \quad \text{~~Ext}_+^1(S_+, \text{Alg}_A)~~$$

$$\tilde{K}(A \oplus M[-j]) \rightarrow K(A \oplus M[-j]) \rightarrow K(A)$$

$$A \oplus M[-j]: S_+^w \rightarrow \text{Alg}_A$$

Similarly for TC. End up showing that

$$\tilde{K}(A \oplus M[-j])$$

$$\downarrow \text{SI}$$

$$\tilde{K}(A \oplus M[-j])$$

$$A \oplus M[x] \cong \text{~~trivial~~ } A \oplus (M \oplus \Sigma^2 x).$$

$$\mathbb{S}_p(e) \xrightarrow{\text{Does this exist?}} \mathbb{S}_p(\mathbb{P})$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^\infty & & \Omega_{\mathbb{D}}^\infty \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ e & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

Defn $\partial F: \mathbb{S}_p(e) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_p(\mathbb{P})$. It will be exact and has a natural transf

$$F \circ \Omega_e^\infty \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{D}}^\infty \circ \partial F$$

and natural.

TC of a square-zero extension?

$$\text{In particular, } \Omega_{\mathbb{D}}^\infty \circ \partial F \cong \partial F \circ \Omega_e^\infty.$$

$$DK \circ \Omega_A^\infty(M) \cong \text{column } \mathbb{Z}^n \tilde{K}(A \oplus \Sigma^n M).$$

\triangle In the remaining lectures. We prove $DKM \cong \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$.
 Two strategies for general proof: 1: reduce by hand to square-zero. Then reduce to trivial square zero. 2: prove analytic continuation/analyticity for K, TC thought of as functors $\text{Alg} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_p$. Hard but tractable.

