

**MATH 465, LECTURE 15: THE S-COBORDISM THEOREM, SIMPLE
HOMOTOPY, AND WHITEHEAD TORSION**

J. FRANCIS, NOTES BY A. SMITH

Previously, given an h-cobordism W with a specified handlebody presentation, we had an associated contractible chain complex of free $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -modules: $C_*^{\text{cell}}(\widetilde{W}, \partial_0 \widetilde{W})$. Here π denotes the group $\pi_1(\partial_0 W) \cong \pi_1 W$. Recall that this contractible complex came to us with a natural equivalence class of basis, given by lifts of the handles. That is, for each q -handle ϕ_i^q , we choose a lift of the characteristic map

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_i^q : (D^q \times D^{n-q}, S^{q-1} \times D^{n-q}) \longrightarrow (\widetilde{W}_q, \widetilde{W}_{q-1})$$

and then we pushforward a relative fundamental class of the q -disk to obtain a cellular class $[\phi_i^q] \in H_q(\widetilde{W}_q, \widetilde{W}_{q-1}) = C_q^{\text{cell}}(\widetilde{W}, \partial_0 \widetilde{W})$. In selecting each basis element, we made an arbitrary choice of lift, which we could alter by acting by a deck transformation $\gamma_i \in \pi$, and an arbitrary choice of fundamental class, which we alter by multiplying by -1 . This (unordered) basis is thus only well-defined up to multiplying by $\pm \gamma_i$, for each i .

It might be tempting to think that this complex $C_*^{\text{cell}}(\widetilde{W}, \partial_0 \widetilde{W})$ might contain no information because it is contractible. But this would be a mistake. So let us now address the question: What invariant can be extracted from a contractible finite complex of free $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -modules equipped with an equivalence class of basis?

1. THE WHITEHEAD GROUP AND WHITEHEAD TORSION

Let X be a contractible finite complex of free $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -modules with an unordered $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -basis of each X_q . Given such an X , we will produce an element of $K_1(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$, the first algebraic K -group of the ring $\mathbb{Z}\pi$.

Let us first recall the definition of $K_1(R)$. (This is originally due to Whitehead, who defined it for this exact purpose.) Let $\text{GL}(R)$ denote the sequential colimit $\text{colim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{GL}_n(R)$. Let δ_{ij} denote the matrix which is zero except for a single entry at the i th row and j th column. A invertible matrix is *elementary* if it equal to the sum of the identity matrix I with $r\delta_{ij}$, $r \in R$ and $i \neq j$, a matrix consisting of a single off-diagonal nonzero element. Let $E(R) \subset \text{GL}(R)$ be the subgroup generated by all elementary matrices.

Lemma 1.1. *The subgroup $E(R)$ is equal to $[\text{GL}(R), \text{GL}(R)]$, the commutator subgroup of $\text{GL}(R)$.*

Proof. Any elementary matrix $I + r\delta_{ik}$ is equivalent to the commutator

$$I + r\delta_{ik} = (I + r\delta_{ij})(I + \delta_{jk})(I - r\delta_{ij})(I - \delta_{jk}).$$

Conversely, show that for any $A, B \in \text{GL}(R)$, the commutator $ABA^{-1}B^{-1}$ can be expressed a product of elementary matrices. See, e.g., [6]. □

Definition 1.2. $K_1(R)$ is $\text{GL}(R)/E(R) = \text{GL}(R)/[\text{GL}(R), \text{GL}(R)]$, the abelianization of the group $\text{GL}(R)$.

Observe the quotient $\text{GL}(R)/E(R)$ can be expressed by imposing several equivalence relations:

- $A \sim B$ if B is obtained from A by adding r times the k th row of A to the l th row, for $r \in R$ and $k \neq l$;
- $A \sim B$ if B is obtained from A by switching a pair of rows or a pair of columns.

We now return to the problem of our contractible complex X . Let X be a contractible finitely generated complex of free R -modules. Since X is contractible, we may choose a contracting homotopy h . That is, h is a sequence of R -module maps $h_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ such that $h_{i+1} \circ d_i + d_{i-1} \circ h_i = \text{id}$ for each i . Let A denote the matrix defining the linear transformation $(d+h)_{\text{odd}} : X_{\text{odd}} \rightarrow X_{\text{ev}}$. Likewise, let B denote the matrix of the linear transformation $(d+h)_{\text{ev}} : X_{\text{ev}} \rightarrow X_{\text{odd}}$. Note that the matrices A and B both define classes, $[A]$ and $[B]$, in $K_1(R)$.

Now, the composition $B \circ A : X_{\text{odd}} \rightarrow X_{\text{ev}} \rightarrow X_{\text{odd}}$ is the identity matrix I , exactly by the formula for h being a contracting homotopy. Thus, we obtain that the class $[A] + [B] = [B \circ A]$ is the identity element $[I] = 0 \in K_1(R)$, so $[A] = -[B]$.

Select the matrix A . This will be our invariant in $K_1(R)$ associated to such a contractible complex X . We will refer to this element as the *torsion* of X . Since the choice of $[A]$ versus $[B]$ was somewhat arbitrary, we make the following definition.

Definition 1.3. Let X be a contractible finitely generated complex of free R -modules with a basis. The torsion $\tau(X)$ is class $[A] \in \tilde{K}_1(R)$, where $\tilde{K}_1(R) = K_1(R)/\mathbb{Z}^\times$ is the reduced K -group of R .

Remark 1.4. There is also a definition of torsion when X is not necessarily contractible, which is slightly more involved. See [6].

Our situation is slightly different, however, in that our basis for the contractible complex X was only well-defined up to a certain equivalence relation.

Since the determinant map $\det : \text{GL}(R) \rightarrow R^\times$ is trivial when restricted to $E(R)$, there is thus a factorization:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E(R) & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}(R) & \longrightarrow & K_1(R) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & R^\times & & \end{array}$$

det

There is a section $R^\times \rightarrow K_1(R)$, defined by sending a unit $a \in R^\times$ to the class of the 1×1 -matrix $[a] \in K_1(R)$. This defines a splitting $K_1(R) \cong R^\times \oplus \ker(\det)$.

Remark 1.5. Nothing in this construction depended on the ring $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ being a group ring. The same construction could be made for any ring R together with a choice of subgroup of the units of R , $G \subset R^\times$. In our case, this subgroup is $\mathbb{Z}^\times \times \pi \subset \mathbb{Z}[\pi]^\times$.

Definition 1.6. For a group π , the Whitehead group of π is $K_1(\mathbb{Z}\pi)/\mathbb{Z}^\times \times \pi = \text{Wh}(\pi)$.

We can also define the Whitehead torsion of a homotopy equivalence of finite CW complexes.

Definition 1.7. Let $f : K \rightarrow K'$ be a cellular homotopy equivalence of finite CW complexes. This induces $\tilde{f}_* : C_*^{\text{cell}}(\tilde{K}) \rightarrow C_*^{\text{cell}}(\tilde{K}')$. Then $\text{cone}(\tilde{f}_*)$ is a contractible complex with a basis. The *Whitehead torsion* of f is $\tau(f) = \tau(\text{cone}(\tilde{f}_*)) \in \text{Wh}(\pi_1 K')$.

Definition 1.8. An elementary expansion is a map $X \rightarrow X \cup_{D^n} D^{n+1}$ of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & X \cup_{D^n} D^{n+1} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ D^n & \longrightarrow & D^{n+1} \end{array}$$

where $D^n \rightarrow D^{n+1}$ factors through ∂D^{n+1} .

Definition 1.9. An elementary collapse is a collapse map $X \cup_{D^n} D^{n+1} \rightarrow X$ of the form where $D^n \rightarrow D^{n+1}$ factors through ∂D^{n+1} .

Definition 1.10. A map f is simple if it is a composition of elementary expansions and collapses.

Theorem 1.11. *A cellular homotopy equivalence of finite CW complexes f is homotopic to a simple homotopy equivalence if and only if $\tau(f) = 0$ in $\text{Wh}(\pi_1 K')$.*

Lemma 1.12. *Whitehead torsion is a homotopy invariant.*

2. THE S-COBORDISM THEOREM

We have the h-cobordism theorem to classify homotopy cobordisms with trivial fundamental group. We will now extend this to cases with non-trivial fundamental group.

Theorem 2.1 (The s-cobordism Theorem). *Let M be a smooth compact manifold without boundary, of dimension $n \geq 5$. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\text{H-Cob}(M) \longrightarrow \text{Wh}(\pi_1 M)$$

between the set of h-cobordisms with ingoing boundary M modulo diffeomorphisms relative to M and the Whitehead group of $\pi_1 M$. It is given by sending the class of a cobordism $\partial_0 M \rightarrow W$ to $\tau(\partial_0)$, the Whitehead torsion of ∂_0 . In particular, an h-cobordism ∂_0 is trivial if and only if $\tau(\partial_0) = 0$.

Remark 2.2. $\text{Wh}(1) = 0$, so if $\pi_1 M = 1$, $\text{Wh}(\pi_1 M) = 0$, which implies the h-cobordism theorem.

Proof. We will see that the s-cobordism follows from an argument very similar to that used in the proof of the h-cobordism theorem. So we will try to duplicate the argument for the h-cobordism theorem, but use the universal cover \widetilde{W} of the cobordism W , whose homology has an action of the fundamental group of W .

Step 1. Apply the Normal Form Lemma to the h-cobordism W .

$$W \cong M \times [0, 1] + \sum_{I_q} \varphi^q + \sum_{I_{q+1}} \varphi^{q+1}$$

Step 2. The differential d_{q+1} is an isomorphism given by a matrix A

$$A : H_{q+1}(\widetilde{W}_{q+1}/\widetilde{W}_q) \rightarrow H_q(\widetilde{W}_q/\widetilde{W}_{q-1})$$

where the homology groups have $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -bases given by lifts of the handles.

Step 3. Attempt to diagonalize A using handle operations. Reduce A to the 0 matrix from 0 to 0 by cancelling appropriate pairs of handles. We have a table comparing row reduction operations on a matrix to handle operations.

	matrix operations over $\mathbb{Z}\pi$	handle operations
1.	$A \sim \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	Cancellation Lemma
2.	multiply k th row by $x \in \mathbb{Z}\pi$ and add to the l th row	Modification Lemma for $[\varphi_k^{q+1} _{S^q}] + x d_{q+1}[\varphi_l^{q+1}]$
3.	interchange rows or columns	relabel handles
4.	multiply the i th row by $\pm\gamma$ for $\gamma \in \pi$	pre-compose by a map of degree -1 and/ or change the lift of φ

If the matrix corresponding to a cobordism W can be diagonalized by these steps, W is trivial. For a general ring R , not every matrix in $\text{GL}(R)$ is diagonalizable. The s-cobordism theorem characterizes nontrivial h-cobordisms W by characterizing the matrices associated to them.

We must consider the matrix A corresponding to W modulo the above relations. A priori, we have $A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}\pi_1 M)$ where n depends on the size of A . Imposing relation 1 corresponds to considering A as an element of $\text{GL}(\mathbb{Z}\pi_1 M)$. Imposing relations 2 and 3 corresponds to considering

A as an element of $K_1(\mathbb{Z}\pi_1 M)$. Imposing relation 4 corresponds to considering A as an element of $\text{Wh}(\pi_1 M)$.

To show that the map in the theorem is well defined, we note that $[A] = \tau(C_*^{\text{cell}}(\widetilde{W}, \partial_0 \widetilde{W}))$ in $\text{Wh}(\pi_1 M)$. Then since Whitehead torsion is a homotopy invariant, $[A]$ is a diffeomorphism invariant.

To show that it is surjective, we note that given an element of $\text{Wh}(\pi_1 M)$, we can choose a matrix representing that element and build a handlebody with that matrix as its cellular differential.

That it is injective follows from basic properties of Whitehead torsion (e.g., the sum and composition formulas, see [5]), or from the following section (though this is overkill). \square

3. THE TOPOLOGICAL INVARIANCE OF WHITEHEAD TORSION

The Whitehead torsion of a map is a topological invariant of a CW complex. That is, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a homeomorphism, then f is a simple homotopy equivalence: $\tau(f) = 0$ in $\text{Wh}(\pi_1 Y)$. This was a longstanding conjecture proved by Chapman, [2], more than ten years after Barden, Mazur, and Stallings proved the s-cobordism theorem.

Chapman first proved the theorem using the theory of \mathcal{Q} -manifolds, where $\mathcal{Q} = \prod_{\mathbb{N}} [0, 1]$ is the Hilbert cube, a countable product of intervals. A \mathcal{Q} -manifold is a separable metric space with a open cover of open subsets of \mathcal{Q} .

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a finite CW complex. Then $X \times \mathcal{Q}$ is a \mathcal{Q} -manifold. Likewise, let M be a compact \mathcal{Q} -manifold. Then there is a homeomorphism $M \cong X \times \mathcal{Q}$ for some finite CW complex X .*

Thus, there is a close relation between the homotopy theory of CW complexes and the homeomorphism theory of \mathcal{Q} -manifolds. Chapman, building on a result of West, proved the following very satisfying theorem:

Theorem 3.2. *A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of finite CW complexes is a simple homotopy equivalence if and only if the map of \mathcal{Q} -manifolds $f \times \text{id} : X \times \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow Y \times \mathcal{Q}$ is homotopic to a homeomorphism.*

Corollary 3.3 (Topological invariance of Whitehead torsion). *If f is a homeomorphism then $\tau(f)$ is zero in $\text{Wh}(\pi_1 Y)$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Chapman, T. A. Controlled simple homotopy theory and applications. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1009. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983. i+94 pp. For those with Northwestern library privileges, available from <http://www.springerlink.com.turing.library.northwestern.edu/find.mpx>.
- [2] Chapman, T. A. Topological invariance of Whitehead torsion. Amer. J. Math. 96 (1974), 488–497. Available from JSTOR.
- [3] Cohen, Marshall. A course in simple-homotopy theory. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 10. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1973. Available from <http://math.uchicago.edu/~shmuel/tom-readings/>.
- [4] Kervaire, Michel. Le théorème de Barden-Mazur-Stallings. Comment. Math. Helv. 40 1965 31–42. Available from <http://math.uchicago.edu/~shmuel/tom-readings/>.
- [5] Lück, Wolfgang. A basic introduction to surgery. Available from <http://www.math.uni-muenster.de/u/lueck/>.
- [6] Milnor, John. Whitehead torsion. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 1966 358–426. Available from projecteuclid.org/euclid.bams/1183527946.